Open Public Consultation on the ELV Evaluation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

ELV Evaluation - Open Public Consultation

Introduction

Background context of the consultation

What is the Directive 2000/53/EC on end-of-life vehicles about?

Every year, millions of vehicles in Europe reach the end of their life. If end-of-life vehicles (ELV) are not managed properly, they can be a threat to the environment as well as a lost source of millions of tonnes of materials. <u>Directive</u> <u>2000/53/EC</u> on end-of-life vehicles (ELV Directive) was adopted in 2000 to minimise the impact of end-of-life vehicles (ELVs) on the environment and to improve the environmental performance of all the economic operators involved in the life cycle of vehicles.

Why is the Commission performing a consultation?

Directive 2018/849/EU obliges the Commission to evaluate it by the end of 2020. Special attention is to be given to its implementation, to the feasibility of setting targets for reporting per specific materials, and to the problem of the end-of-life vehicles of unknown whereabouts.

How will the replies to this consultation be used?

Your replies to this consultation will be used as part of the evaluation.

About you

* Language of my contribution

- O Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- O Danish
- O Dutch
- X English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- ~ 11111311
- French
- Gaelic
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese

- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- · I am giving my contribution as
 - Academic/research institution
 - Business association
 - Company/business organisation
 - Consumer organisation
 - EU citizen
 - O Environmental organisation
 - O Non-EU citizen
 - Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
 - Public authority
 - Trade union
 - Other
- * If other, please specify:
- * First name

Lies

* Surname

Verlinden

* Email (this won't be published)

lies wilinden @ ovam. be

 If you represent the private sector, please specify your area of interest / activity (you can select more than one box):

2

- Vehicle producer / manufacturer / importer
- Vehicle dealer
- Vehicle repair workshop
- Insurance company
- Dismantling sector, Authorised Treatment Facility
- Shredder Operator
- Energy recovery sector
- Recycling sector
- Other (for example, exporter / importer of used vehicles)

If other please specify:

250 character(s) maximum

* If you represent an economic operator, please specify your approximate annual turnover:

- <€100.000
- €100.000-1.000.000
- €1.000.000-10.000.000
- €10.000.000-50.000.000
- € >50.000.000

* Scope

- International
- O Local
- National
- Regional

Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

OVAH, Public Waste Agency of Flanders

- Organisation size
 - Micro (1 to 9 employees)
 - Small (10 to 49 employees)
 - Medium (50 to 249 employees)
 - > Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It is a voluntary database for organisations seewing to influence EU decision making.

594435418712-96

Country of origin

Please add your country of origin for that of your organisation.

- Afghanistan Ojibouti Åland Islands
 - O Dominica
- Albania
- Dominican Republic
- Algeria American Samoa
- Ecuador
- Egypt

- Libya
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg Macau
- Saint Martin
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines Samoa
- San Marino

		Andorra	Ó	El Salvador	Ô	Madagascar	O	São Tomé and Príncipe
	0	Angola	Ô	Equatorial Guinea	0	Malawi	ð	
	Ō	Anguille	Ô		0	Malavaia	0	Conocol
	0	Anguilla Antarctica	0		0	india) ora		Senegal
			0				0 0	Serbia
		Antigua and Barbuda		Eswatini		Mali	0	Seychelles
	O	Argentina	\bigcirc	Ethiopia	\bigcirc	Malta	Ő	Sierra Leone
	D.	Armenia	ŝ	Falkland Islands	0	Marshall Islands	0	Singapore
	0	Aruba	ð	Faroe Islands	Ð		0	Sint Maarten
	ð	Australia		Fiji	O	in a second second	0	Slovakia
	0	Austria		Finland	Ô		0	Slovenia
	Ô	Azerbaijan	0	France	$\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}} \rangle$		0	Solomon
								Islands
	0	Bahamas	Ô	French Guiana	0	IN OXIOO	0	Somalia
	O	Bahrain	Ö	French Polynesia	0	Micronesia	0	South Africa
	Ö	Bangladesh	Ô	French	O	Moldova	Ô	South Georgia
				Southern and				and the South
				Antarctic Lands				Sandwich
			-					Islands
	0	Barbados	0	Gabon	0	monaoo		South Korea
	0	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
/	M	Belgium	0		0			Spain
	0	Belize	0	Ghana	0	Montserrat		Sri Lanka
	0	Benin	0	Gibraltar	0	Morocco	0	Sudan
	0	Bermuda	0	Greece	0		0	Suriname
	0	Bhutan	0	Greenland	Ø	Myanmar /Burma	0	Svalbard and Jan Mayen
	Ô	Bolivia	\bigcirc	Grenada	\bigcirc	Namibia	\odot	Sweden
	Ô	Bonaire Saint	Ô	Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	O	Switzerland
		Eustatius and Saba						
	0	Bosnia and	\odot	Guam	Ô	Nepal	0	Syria
		Herzegovina						
	\odot	Botswana	\bigcirc	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	O	Taiwan
	0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
	\bigcirc	Brazil	Ð	Guinea	Õ	New Zealand	O	Tanzania
	\bigcirc	British Indian	\bigcirc	Guinea-Bissau	Ô	Nicaragua	\odot	Thailand
		Ocean Territory						
	Ō	British Virgin	Ô	Guyana	0	Niger	O.	The Gambia
		Islands						
	O	Brunei	0	Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
	O	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island	0	Niue	0	Togo
				and McDonald				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Islands				

000	Burkina Faso Burundi Cambodia	0	Honduras Hong Kong Hungary	000	North Korea North	O	Trinidad and
0	Cameroon	0	Iceland	Ċ	Macedonia Northern Mariana Islands	\odot	Tobago Tunisia
000	oundud	0	India Indonesia Iran		Norway Oman	0	Turkey Turkmenistan Turks and Caicos Islands
Ô	Central African Republic	Ô	Iraq	0	Palau	O	Tuvalu
0.0.0		Õ	Ireland Isle of Man Israel	Ð	Palestine Panama Papua New Guinea	Õ	Uganda Ukraine United Arab Emirates
0	Christmas Island	\odot	Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton Cocos (Keeling) Islands	-	Jamaica Japan	00	Peru Philippines		United States United States Minor Outlying Islands
0. 0	Colombia Comoros		Jersey Jordan		Pitcairn Islands Poland		Uruguay US Virgin Islands
O	Congo Cook Islands Costa Rica Côte d'Ivoire Croatia	000	Kazakhstan Kenya Kiribati Kosovo Kuwait	0	Portugal Puerto Rico Qatar Réunion Romania	000	Uzbekistan Vanuatu Vatican City Venezuela Vietnam
0	Cuba	0	Kyrgyzstan	Ô	Russia	0	Wallis and Futuna
Q	Curaçao	O	Laos	0	Rwanda	O	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	0	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	Ô	Yemen
0	Czechia	0	Lebanon	0	Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha	0	Zambia
	Democratic Republic of the Congo	<u>ි</u>	Lesotho	0	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Ô	Zimbabwe
	Denmark	0	Liberia	Ð	Saint Lucia		

Publication privacy settings
 The Commission will outputs the report set of the

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

The questionnaire

In the following, you will find some questions regarding the extent of your familiarity with the subject of this consultation.

To what extent are you familiar with:

	Fully familiar	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	I do not know	
* The ELV Directive?	×	0	0	Õ	O	
 The transposition of the ELV Directive in your country? 	×	Ô	0	Ô	0	

How often do you deal with:

	Daily	Monthly	Yearly	Not at all	l do not know
* The ELV Directive?	0	X	0	0	Ô
* The transposition of the ELV Directive in your country?	×	Ø	0	0	Ô

In the following table you will find some statements regarding the deregistration of vehicles. To what extent do you agree with them?

In your country of residence, if you had to scrap your car:

		Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / no opinion
* 1. You would	d not incur any costs	×	. Õ	Ô	Ô	0	Ō

* 2. You would receive some payment that reflects the value of any components or material that can be recovered from the vehicle	X	Ø	• • •	ð	0	0
* 3. There would be adequate availability of collection facilities within your region	X	Ø	Ø	Ö	0	Ø
* 4. The deregistration system established by your country is simple (i.e. not overly burdensome)	X	0	0	0	0	0
* 5. The deregistration system obliges vehicle owners to indicate one of the following three options: export, off road storage or scrapping	Q	0	0	Ö	0	×
* 6. Certificates of destruction are always provided to the last registered owner of a vehicle which reaches the end of its life and is scrapped	0	0	X	0	0	0
* 7. There are financial incentives (i.e. payments) that encourage vehicle owners / keepers to use authorised treatment facilities to dispose of their end of life vehicles.	0	0	×	0	0	0
8. Did you ever experience to deregister your car in country A and register the same car in country B but never received a deregistration proof from country A?	Ø	ð	0	0	Ø	×

In the following there are questions regarding vehicle repair:

In your country of residence, if you repair your vehicle independently (Do It Yourself)

	Yes - for free	Yes- for a fee	No	l do not know/ no opinion
1. Are there facilities that accept defective parts removed from your vehicle?	X	``	0	୍

* 2. Are there facilities that accept used liquids removed from your vehicle?

• An increasing number of spare parts are sold via the internet. Please indicate if spare parts purchased via the internet in your country are accompanied with the following information:

X

8

 \square The name of the dismantler who dismantled the spare part from an ELV

The registration number of the dismantler, indicating that the dismantler is an authorised treatment facility and registered in the national registry.

The vehicle Identification number (VIN) of the vehicle from which the spare part was removed.

Spare parts sold are not accompanied with any of the information mentioned above

I do not know

* Are you aware of any problems related to the disposal and treatment of ELVs in your country or region?

X Yes

O No

I do not know

If yes, please specify:

1500 character(s) maximum

(1)

Are there any issues relating to the management of end-of-life vehicles that are not adequately covered by the ELV Directive?

1500 character(s) maximum



Other comments:

If you wish to add further information, comments or suggestions, including examples of good or bad practice) – within the scope of this questionnaire – please feel free to do so here:

1500 character(s) maximum



Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB. Only files of the type odi (xt.doc.docx.oct.rt) are allowed (1) There are problems with the deregistration and traceability of vehicles or vehicle holders/owners on one hand and with the enforcement on the other hand.

In Belgium we have an efficient system for a final deregistration with a certificate of destruction (CoD). ATF's put in all data of an ELV in the software system of Febelauto which is automatically connected to the registration authority for final deregistration of the VIN. However, the registration authority makes a distinction between registration and deregistration on one hand and final deregistration on the other hand. In addition the license plate is linked with the holder of the vehicle, not with the vehicle itself. From the point there is a deregistration (not a final deregistration), the vehicle has no license plate and there is no link to the holder/owner of the vehicle and we can't trace vehicles or vehicle holders/owners to stimulate or oblige them to deliver a vehicle that has become an ELV to an ATF. In the past there was a procedure for this aspect for the European court of Justice but because of the possibility in the ELV Directive to just hold a register of final deregistrations, there was no conviction for Belgium. We are of the opinion that this aspect needs to be more detailed and restricted determined in the ELV Directive to have more legal basis to get national governments take the necessary measures for a deregistration systems including the phases of export, temporary storage and ELV. It needs to be a registration system where the holder and owner of each vehicle is always traceable. These provisions should be aligned with the European legislation about registration of vehicles.

Despite strict legislation and relatively much enforcement in relation to other waste flows, there keeps on being relatively much illegal activity.

- (2) See (1). Belgium is of the opinion that the in (1) mentioned aspects of the traceability are the mean adjustments the ELV Directive needs. Besides the aspects mentioned in (1), Belgium is of the opinion that the possibility to allow different calculation methods also needs adjustment in only one possible calculation method, with as little assumptions as possible. Other needed adjustments we like to see, are:
 - More strict rules for the export of second hand vehicles (e.g. automobile inspection for export) and the distinction between second hand vehicles and ELV's (e.g. objective criteria on the basis of registration and automobile inspections). There is also a need for more accordance with EU regulations about customs.
 - There is a need for more accordance with the battery Directive: the different calculation methods for the same batteries is unclear for the recycling facilities and concerned partners.
 - There is a need for more accordance with new evolutions such as for the batteries of electric and hybrid cars, use of nanomaterials, increased use of plastics, increased use and need for more recuperation of critical metals.
 - More collaboration between eco-design and repair/end-of-life and eco-design rules on EU level.
- (3) We answered the questions about the deregistration (question 4 and 5) that we strongly agree that the deregistration system is simple (not overly burdensome) and that we strongly disagree that the deregistration system obliges vehicle owners to indicate one of the following three options: export, off road storage or scrapping. We can further explain that in Belgium we have a simple (not overly burdensome) system for delivery of a certificate of destruction (CoD) for the ATF's which is automatically connected to the registration authority for final deregistration of the VIN. However, the registration authority doesn't have a final deregistration system for export or

off road storage. The registration authority makes a distinction between registration and deregistration on one hand and final deregistration on the other hand. In addition the license plate is linked with the holder of the vehicle, not with the vehicle itself. From the point there is a deregistration (not a final deregistration), the vehicle has no license plate and there is no link to the holder/owner of the vehicle and we can't trace vehicles or vehicle holders/owners to stimulate or oblige them to deliver a vehicle that has become an ELV to an ATF.

We answered "neutral" for the question about always providing the CoD to the last owner (question 6) because it's not always, only when they ask for it (because the CoD has little/no value due to the mentioned distinction the registration authority makes between registration and deregistration on one hand and final deregistration on the other hand). The digital version is always send automatically to the registration authority.

We answered "neutral" for the question about financial incentives (question 7) because some of the brands have voluntary financial incentives in the form of discounts when buying a new car, and there is nothing for the other brands.

We answered "Yes, for free" for the question about defective parts (question 1) because you can always go to a scrap yard with metal parts or give the parts in an ELV to an ATF and receive some payment in relation to the metal value, but that's not a possible answer, so we chose the closest one to that.

We answered "I do not know" for the question about selling spare parts online because we see that sometimes the name of the dismantler, the indication that the dismantler is registred as ATF and or VIN number is mentioned, but certainly not always.